

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE -United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,093	01/08/2002	Jon Michael Kleinberg	ARC919970008US2	6570
7.	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Timothy M Farrell			RONES, CHARLES	
IBM Corp Route 134 & Kitchawan Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Box 218	iteliawan Road		2164	
Yorktown Heig	ghts, NY 10598		DATE MAILED: 11/36/2004	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/042,093	KLEINBERG, JON MICHAEL	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Rones	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper replaces the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The apportunity of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	<i>,</i> —	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-70</u> .		·	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>71-75</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	(e)(1 10 1 110) 1 apoi 110(e)	··············	
10. L. Odlei		$\alpha \cap$	

Charles Rones Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2164 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has changed the scope of the claims and dependencies by creating multple dependent claims which raise new issues and examiner maintains final rejection.